



# Rethinking coral reef functional futures

Gareth J. Williams<sup>1</sup> | Nicholas A. J. Graham<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Ocean Sciences, Bangor University, Anglesey, UK

<sup>2</sup>Lancaster Environment Centre, Lancaster University, Lancaster, UK

**Correspondence**

Gareth J. Williams  
Email: g.j.williams@bangor.ac.uk

Handling Editor: Charles Fox

**Abstract**

1. Tropical coral reefs currently face an unprecedented restructuring since their extant form and function emerged ~24 million years ago in the early Neogene. They have entered the Anthropocene—an epoch where humans have become the dominant force of planetary change. Human impacts on and interactions with coral reefs are escalating across multiple trophic levels and scales, but we have a rudimentary understanding of what this means for their functional ecology.
2. The overall goal of this special feature is to unpack what the Anthropocene means for the functional ecology of coral reefs, laying the foundations for new approaches and research directions in coral reef science. The collection describes the functional changes and novel dynamics that characterise Anthropocene reefs, from variations in their taxonomy and geology through to the resulting shifts in ecosystem services they provide to humanity.
3. Common changes to coral reefs are occurring that are challenging their historical functional role. These include reductions in benthic calcifiers and declining carbonate production, and benthic assemblage shifts leading to a loss of structural complexity and flattening of reef seascapes. As reefs as we know them are lost from some locations, range extensions and the “tropicalisation” of temperate locations present novel ecosystem configurations that are challenging ecological paradigms and our historical approach to ecosystem management.
4. Hindering our progress, however, is a “functionality crisis.” Coral reef functional ecology to date has lacked a clear and universal definition of the term “function,” and many assumed links between taxa and reef processes lack empirical evidence. Moving forward, we must establish causal links between functional traits, the species that possess them, and specific ecosystem processes if we are to successfully manage Anthropocene reefs. The functional space coral reefs occupy has arguably widened, presenting ethical challenges surrounding the increasingly interventionist management practices required to achieve particular functional endpoints.
5. For us to steer coral reefs towards a desirable functional future will require a more mechanistic understanding between ecosystem attributes and the provision of services, acknowledging that such services are coproduced by the ecosystem and society. Ultimately, this era in coral reef ecology requires a new approach to coral reef science, one that addresses the complex socio-ecological nature of coral reefs. These works outline a path ahead for defining and studying the functional

ecology of coral reefs, drive debate as to what we want their functional future to look like and call for ecosystem function to be at the heart of managing coral reef futures during this period of rapid transition.

#### KEYWORDS

anthropocene, climate change, ecology, ecosystem services, function, futures, social-ecological systems

## 1 | INTRODUCTION

Early coral reefs lived among dinosaurs. These ancestral coral forms led to the emergence of scleractinian coral reefs ~65 million years ago (Ma) in the early Paleogene that by the early Neogene (~24 Ma) had developed into the functionally extant coral reefs we know today (Bellwood, 2003; Bellwood, Goatley, & Bellwood, 2017) (Figure 1). Between then and now, arguably little changed to the functionality of coral reefs, despite increases in species diversification (Bellwood et al., 2017). Recently, however, the globalisation of the human species has propelled coral reefs into an era of unprecedented change and coral reefs in the Anthropocene face an uncertain functional future (Figure 1).

Over the past few decades, and guided by classic ecological theory and practice, we have learned a great deal about the structuring forces of coral reef ecosystems. Early on in the evolution of coral reef science, research focused on the role played by gradients in natural biophysical forcings such as wave energy, temperature, light and primary production (Dollar, 1982; Glynn, 1976; Odum & Odum, 1955). As interactions between people and coral reefs increased, so too did the study of the resulting changes to reef configurations. We began to study the role played by fisheries exploitation (McClanahan & Muthiga, 1988; Russ & Alcala, 1989) and nutrient enrichment due to coastal development (Grigg, 1995; Hunter & Evans, 1995), and the study of key ecosystem functions, such as herbivory, reef growth and predation, became commonplace (Hubbard & Scaturo, 1985; Lewis, 1986; McClanahan & Shafir, 1990). From the late 1980s onwards, the threats presented by climate change-induced coral bleaching (Harriott, 1985; Hoegh-Guldberg, 1999) and disease outbreaks (Aronson & Precht, 2001) became increasingly apparent, with documented changes in reef reconfigurations away from their historical baselines (Hughes, 1994) and the deleterious effects this was likely to present to humanity (Done, 1999).


As our knowledge grew, so too did our realisation that coral reefs represent tightly coupled social–ecological systems (Kittinger, Finkbeiner, Glazier, & Crowder, 2012), whose complex states and dynamics (Donovan et al., 2018; Jouffray et al., 2015) are governed by interacting cross-scale human socioeconomic, cultural (Cinner et al., 2016; Hicks, Crowder, Graham, Kittinger, & Cornu, 2016) and biophysical processes (Jouffray et al., 2019; Williams, Gove, Eynaud, Zgliczynski, & Sandin, 2015). Under such contexts, classic ecological theory and paradigms can break down (2019). In recent years, it has become evident that climate

change-induced ocean warming driven by rising CO<sub>2</sub> emissions is leading to an increase in the frequency of mass coral bleaching events across the planet (Hughes, Anderson, et al., 2018). These events are often overwhelming local management and environmental variability to cause mass coral mortality at unprecedented scales (Hughes, Kerry, et al., 2017) and reconfiguring entire reef assemblages (Hughes, Kerry, et al., 2018; Stuart-Smith, Brown, Ceccarelli, & Edgar, 2018). Despite the potential for recovery between bleaching events (Gilmour, Smith, Heyward, Baird, & Pratchett, 2013; Graham, Jennings, MacNeil, Mouillot, & Wilson, 2015; Sheppard, Harris, & Sheppard, 2008), global climate change model projections predict a continued diminishing return time of coral bleaching events in the coming decades (van Hooibonk et al., 2016) that will severely challenge the capacity for reef recovery (Osborne et al., 2017). It is unequivocal that coral reefs have entered the Anthropocene (Hughes, Barnes, et al., 2017; Norström et al., 2016), an epoch where humans are the dominant force of planetary change. However, we have a rudimentary understanding of what this might entail for the functional ecology of coral reefs.

## 2 | UNRAVELLING THE ANTHROPOCENE FOR CORAL REEFS FROM A FUNCTIONAL PERSPECTIVE

Functional ecology aims to understand how core ecosystem functions, such as carbonate accretion, herbivory and nutrient cycling in the case of coral reefs (In Press), govern ecosystem dynamics, how certain species shape the system, and how this understanding informs responses to disturbances (2019). The field of functional ecology draws heavily on trait-based approaches. Traits of organisms (e.g., elements of morphology or behaviour) attempt to capture their role in ecosystem function (McGill, Enquist, Weiher, & Westoby, 2006; Mouillot, Graham, Villéger, Mason, & Bellwood, 2013). The greater the evidence for a given trait or trait combination capturing an ecosystem function, the more likely a trait-based approach will yield insights into the behaviour of that ecosystem.

A functional approach offers the opportunity to study how coral reefs are responding to the complex network of socioeconomic, cultural and biophysical processes that now dictate their dynamics (2019). This special feature unravels the Anthropocene for coral reefs from a functional perspective, outlining a path



**FIGURE 1** The changing scope of coral reef functional space into the Anthropocene. Functionally extant coral reefs emerged ~24 million years ago (Ma) in the early Neogene and existed in a relatively stable form prior to entering the Anthropocene. In recent decades, the scope and bounds of coral reef ecosystems have expanded and their functional future is now much more uncertain than in the geological past. For some reefs, past functions remain, and for others, key functions are being lost. As novel reef configurations form and society responds, novel functions and ecosystem services are emerging. Future reef configurations and the continued adaptation of society will likely result in functions we have not yet seen or recognised as important

ahead by which we can steer them towards a desirable functional future, characterised by diverse calcifying coral reefs that continue to coproduce services for society. The collection describes the functional changes and novel dynamics that characterise coral reefs in the Anthropocene. The papers discuss the functional implications of assemblage shifts across trophic levels, changes to reef geological processes and seascapes, range extensions of tropical species and the knock-on effects these changes are having on the ecosystem services reefs provide. The collection also provides a definitional framework for the study of “function” and calls for a new approach to coral reef science in this era of rapid change.

The works highlight some common changes occurring to coral reefs in the Anthropocene that are challenging their historical functional role. Under intense bleaching-induced coral mortality, coral assemblages are becoming morphologically less diverse and dominated by taxa with a higher morphological “compactness” (2019). Concurrently, reductions in carbonate production and reef accretion are occurring that, in combination with bioerosion, are resulting in net-erosional states and the flattening of reef seascapes (2019).


In some locations, coral reefs as we know them are transitioning to novel configurations with novel functions (2019) that provide us with new opportunities to derive benefits from ecosystem services (2019) (Figure 2). For example, fleshy macroalgae are classically viewed as the functional endpoint to the coral–algal regime shift that results from cumulative human stressors (Hughes, 1994). However, “algae” are a diverse group and a natural part of many coral reef seascapes (Vroom, Page, Kenyon, & Brainard, 2006). These complex macroalgal reef habitats contribute to coral reef ecosystem function, including providing nursery habitats for juvenile reef fish, and boosting secondary productivity as they detach,

float and become a food source for browsing herbivorous fishes (2019). Some of these fishes, such as the rabbitfish *Siganus sutor*, are fast-growing and sustain fisheries production where tropical macroalgae have replaced reef-building corals as the dominant habitat former (Robinson et al., 2019). Such provision of ecosystem services through novel means (2019) challenges some existing coral reef paradigms and requires we reappraise how we might manage tropical macroalgal reefs (2019).

Novel ecosystem configurations are also challenging our “traditional” approaches to reef conservation and management as a whole, particularly where they are forming at the expense of past native assemblages (Graham, Cinner, Norström, & Nyström, 2014). “Tropicalisation” of temperate reefs is occurring as tropical species extend their ranges poleward, creating novel predator–prey dynamics and shifts in the dominant habitat-forming taxa (2019). These novel reef configurations and ecosystem service opportunities are driving debate as to how such situations should be managed from an ethical perspective, particularly as management strategies become increasingly interventionist (2019). This demands that we reassess how we define coral reef ecosystem function, and what in fact makes for a *functioning* coral reef ecosystem in the Anthropocene.

### 3 | RETHINKING CORAL REEF FUNCTIONAL FUTURES: THE PATH AHEAD

To steer coral reefs towards a desirable functional future, we must first acknowledge that ecosystem services are coproduced by the ecosystem and society (2019). As coral reefs change, so too does our use of them. As society changes, technological innovations may create novel opportunities for ecosystem services



**FIGURE 2** Functional shifts and novel dynamics that characterise coral reefs in the Anthropocene. Structurally complex reefs with high accretion rates and carbonate production (a) are transitioning to net-erosional states following mass coral mortality, causing a flattening of reef seascapes (b). Tropical macroalgal beds are often viewed as the negative endpoint to a coral–algal regime shift, but some species occur naturally in high abundance close to coral reefs and contribute to overall reef function. For example, tropical *Sargassum* beds act as important nursery habitats for juvenile reef fish (c) and can sustain productive adult fish populations and fisheries where they have replaced corals as the dominant habitat former (d). As coral reefs are being lost from some locations, in others novel reef configurations are emerging, in some cases via range extensions and the “tropicalisation” of temperate reefs that results in novel dynamics and new opportunities for human exploitation (e). Photo credits: Chris Perry (a, b), Christopher Fulton (c), James Robinson (d) and Adriana Vergés (e)

to be drawn from coral reefs. These changes to the ecosystem and society will not benefit all user groups equally, and winners and losers will emerge (2019; 2019). Moving forwards, therefore, how we decide and manage for a particular functional outcome requires careful thought and a strong inclusion of social science in ecosystem management (Hicks, Levine, et al., 2016). This is true for both ensuring continued and equitable use of coral reefs and to effectively develop and maintain conservation initiatives.

One aspect currently hindering our progress is a “functionality crisis” (2019). To date, we have lacked a clear and universal definition of the term “function” and many assumed links between function and coral reef processes lack empirical evidence. The works contained within this special feature call for a universal definition and propose that “function” be defined as “the movement or storage of energy or material,” such that all functions are part of a continuum (2019). An “ecosystem function,” therefore, refers to the movement or storage of energy or material within an ecosystem. By using this definitional framework, we should be able to increase research comparability and guide more effective management towards particular functional outcomes. To be successful, we must establish causal links between functional traits, the species that possess them and specific ecosystem processes. The focus should move towards quantifying *ecosystem function* rather than the providers of those functions (2019).

The range of coral reef conditions and geographic locations in the Anthropocene has widened, moving us in to a new realm of uncharted functional space (Figure 1). Novel functions have and may continue to arise, or currently unrecognised “sleeping” functions may become key functions in the future. This era in coral reef ecology requires a new approach to coral reef science, one that acknowledges the complex socio-ecological nature of coral reefs. The works call for us to question historical coral reef paradigms and test their capacity to capture the dynamics of Anthropocene reefs across scales (2019; 2019). If these paradigms fail, we must revise them or develop new ecological theory that embeds human socioeconomic and cultural processes at their heart. This will require us to bridge scientific disciplines, such as social–ecological systems research and macroecology, to develop and utilise innovative approaches to how we study, describe and manage Anthropocene reefs (2019).

## 4 | CONCLUSIONS

Interactions between human society and coral reefs are changing in unprecedented ways and rates. The dynamics of Anthropocene reefs are increasingly governed by a network of cross-scale human socioeconomic and cultural processes set upon the backdrop of a reef's natural biophysical setting. Human-induced global climate change has emerged as the dominant structuring force of coral



reefs, overwhelming their local contexts and causing them in many cases to adopt novel configurations. These shifts mean that in some locations past ecosystem functions are being lost, while in others, novel ecosystem functions and services are emerging as human society adapts. Future reef configurations and the continued adaptation of society will likely result in functions not yet seen or recognised as important. These changes require we rethink what we mean by a *functional future* for coral reefs, what is *functionally desirable* in the Anthropocene, and how we manage these complex socio-ecological systems to ensure particular functional endpoints.

## CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors were guest editors of this special feature.

## AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS

Both authors laid down the foundations for this special feature and coordinated its development and integration. G.J.W. wrote the first version of the editorial with input from N.A.J.G. We thank James Bell for initiating the special feature invite and Charles Fox for his editorial oversight, including on this piece. We also thank Jennifer Meyer for her editorial guidance and Amanda Dillon and David Bellwood for their creative input and critique of Figure 1, respectively.

## DATA ACCESSIBILITY

No data were used in this editorial.

## ORCID

Gareth J. Williams  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7837-1619>

Nicholas A. J. Graham  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0304-7467>

## REFERENCES

- Aronson, R. B., & Precht, W. F. (2001). White-band disease and the changing face of Caribbean coral reefs. *Hydrobiologia*, 460, 25–38.
- Bellwood, D. R. (2003). Origins and escalation of herbivory in fishes: A functional perspective. *Paleobiology*, 29, 71–83. [https://doi.org/10.1666/0094-8373\(2003\)029<0071:OAEOHI>2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1666/0094-8373(2003)029<0071:OAEOHI>2.0.CO;2)
- Bellwood, D. R., Goatley, C. H. R., & Bellwood, O. (2017). The evolution of fishes and corals on reefs: Form, function and interdependence. *Biological Reviews*, 92, 878–901. <https://doi.org/10.1111/brv.12259>
- Bellwood, D. R., Streit, R. P., Brandl, S. J., & Tebbett, S. B. (2019). The meaning of the term 'function' in ecology: A coral reef perspective. *Functional Ecology*, 33, 948–961. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.13265>
- Brandl, S. J., Rasher, D. B., Cote, I. M., Casey, J. M., Darling, E. S., Lefcheck, J. S., & Duffy, J. E. (In Press). Functioning coral reefs for the future: eight core processes and the influence of biodiversity. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*.
- Cinner, J. E., Huchery, C., MacNeil, M. A., Graham, N. A. J., McClanahan, T. R., Maina, J., ... Mouillot, D. (2016). Bright spots among the world's coral reefs. *Nature*, 535, 416. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature18607>
- Dollar, S. J. (1982). Wave stress and coral community structure in Hawaii. *Coral Reefs*, 1, 71–81. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00301688>
- Done, T. J. (1999). Coral community adaptability to environmental change at the scales of regions, reefs and reef zones. *American Zoologist*, 39, 66–79. <https://doi.org/10.1093/icb/39.1.66>
- Donovan, M. K., Friedlander, A. M., Lecky, J., Jouffray, J.-B., Williams, G. J., Wedding, L. M., ... Selkoe, K. A. (2018). Combining fish and benthic communities into multiple regimes reveals complex reef dynamics. *Scientific Reports*, 8, 16943. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-35057-4>
- Fulton, C. J., Abesamis, R. A., Berkström, C., Depczynski, M., Graham, N. A. J., Holmes, T. H., ... Wilson, S. K. (2019). Form and function of tropical macroalgal reefs in the Anthropocene. *Functional Ecology*, 33, 989–999. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.13282>
- Gilmour, J. P., Smith, L. D., Heyward, A. J., Baird, A. H., & Pratchett, M. S. (2013). Recovery of an isolated coral reef system following severe disturbance. *Science*, 340, 69–71. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1232310>
- Glynn, P. W. (1976). Some physical and biological determinants of coral community structure in the Eastern Pacific. *Ecological Monographs*, 46, 431–456. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1942565>
- Graham, N. A. J., Cinner, J. E., Norström, A. V., & Nyström, M. (2014). Coral reefs as novel ecosystems: Embracing new futures. *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability*, 7, 9–14. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cosust.2013.11.023>
- Graham, N. A. J., Jennings, S., MacNeil, M. A., Mouillot, D., & Wilson, S. K. (2015). Predicting climate-driven regime shifts versus rebound potential in coral reefs. *Nature*, 518, 94–97. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature14140>
- Grigg, R. W. (1995). Coral reefs in an urban embayment in Hawaii: A complex case history controlled by natural and anthropogenic stress. *Coral Reefs*, 14, 253–266. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00334349>
- Harriott, V. S. (1985). Mortality rates of Scleractinian corals before and during a mass bleaching event. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 21, 81–88. <https://doi.org/10.3354/meps021081>
- Hicks, C. C., Crowder, L. B., Graham, N. A. J., Kittinger, J. N., & Cornu, E. L. (2016). Social drivers forewarn of marine regime shifts. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, 14, 252–260. <https://doi.org/10.1002/fee.1284>
- Hicks, C. C., Levine, A., Agrawal, A., Basurto, X., Breslow, S. J., Carothers, C., ... Levin, P. S. (2016). Engage key social concepts for sustainability. *Science*, 352, 38–40. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aad4977>
- Hoegh-Guldberg, O. (1999). Climate change, coral bleaching and the future of the world's coral reefs. *Marine & Freshwater Research*, 50, 839–866. <https://doi.org/10.1071/MF99078>
- Hubbard, D. K., & Scaturo, D. (1985). Growth rates of seven species of scleractinian corals from Cane Bay and Salt River, St. Croix, USVI. *Bulletin of Marine Science*, 36, 325–338.
- Hughes, T. P. (1994). Catastrophes, phase-shifts, and large-scale degradation of a Caribbean coral reef. *Science*, 265, 1547–1551. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.265.5178.1547>
- Hughes, T. P., Anderson, K. D., Connolly, S. R., Heron, S. F., Kerry, J. T., Lough, J. M., ... Wilson, S. K. (2018). Spatial and temporal patterns of mass bleaching of corals in the Anthropocene. *Science*, 359, 80–83. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aan8048>
- Hughes, T. P., Barnes, M. L., Bellwood, D. R., Cinner, J. E., Cumming, G. S., Jackson, J. B. C., ... Scheffer, M. (2017). Coral reefs in the Anthropocene. *Nature*, 546, 82. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature22901>
- Hughes, T. P., Kerry, J. T., Álvarez-Noriega, M., Álvarez-Romero, J. G., Anderson, K. D., Baird, A. H., ... Wilson, S. K. (2017). Global warming and recurrent mass bleaching of corals. *Nature*, 543, 373. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature21707>
- Hughes, T. P., Kerry, J. T., Baird, A. H., Connolly, S. R., Dietzel, A., Eakin, C. M., ... Torda, G. (2018). Global warming transforms coral

- reef assemblages. *Nature*, 556, 492–496. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0041-2>
- Hunter, C., & Evans, C. (1995). Coral reefs in Kaneohe Bay, Hawaii: Two centuries of western influence and two decades of data. *Bulletin of Marine Science*, 57, 501–515.
- Jouffray, J.-B., Nyström, M., Norström, A. V., Williams, I. D., Wedding, L. M., Kittinger, J. N., & Williams, G. J. (2015). Identifying multiple coral reef regimes and their drivers across the Hawaiian archipelago. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 370, 20130268. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2013.0268>
- Jouffray, J.-B., Wedding, L. M., Norström, A. V., Donovan, M. K., Williams, G. J., Crowder, L. B., ... Nyström, M. (2019). Parsing human and biophysical drivers of coral reef regimes. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 286, 20182544. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2018.2544>
- Kittinger, J. N., Finkbeiner, E. M., Glazier, E. W., & Crowder, L. B. (2012). Human dimensions of coral reef social-ecological systems. *Ecology and Society*, 17(4), 17. <https://doi.org/10.5751/ES-05115-170417>
- Lewis, S. M. (1986). The role of herbivorous fishes in the organization of a Caribbean reef community. *Ecological Monographs*, 56, 183–200. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2937073>
- McClanahan, T. R., & Muthiga, N. A. (1988). Changes in Kenyan coral reef community structure and function due to exploitation. *Hydrobiologia*, 166, 269–276. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00008136>
- McClanahan, T. R., & Shafir, S. H. (1990). Causes and consequences of sea urchin abundance and diversity in Kenyan coral reef lagoons. *Oecologia*, 83, 362–370. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00317561>
- McGill, B. J., Enquist, B. J., Weiher, E., & Westoby, M. (2006). Rebuilding community ecology from functional traits. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 21, 178–185. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2006.02.002>
- Mouillot, D., Graham, N. A. J., Villéger, S., Mason, N. W. H., & Bellwood, D. R. (2013). A functional approach reveals community responses to disturbances. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 28, 167–177. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2012.10.004>
- Norström, A. V., Nyström, M., Jouffray, J. B., Folke, C., Graham, N. A., Moberg, F., ... Williams, G. J. (2016). Guiding coral reef futures in the Anthropocene. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, 14, 490–498. <https://doi.org/10.1002/fee.1427>
- Odum, H. T., & Odum, E. P. (1955). Trophic structure and productivity of a windward coral reef community on Eniwetok Atoll. *Ecological Monographs*, 25, 291–320. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1943285>
- Osborne, K., Thompson, A. A., Cheal, A. J., Emslie, M. J., Johns, K. A., Jonker, M. J., ... Sweatman, H. P. A. (2017). Delayed coral recovery in a warming ocean. *Global Change Biology*, 23, 3869–3881. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13707>
- Perry, C. T., & Alvarez-Filip, L. (2019). Changing geo-ecological functions of coral reefs in the Anthropocene. *Functional Ecology*, 33, 976–988. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.13247>
- Robinson, J. P. W., Wilson, S. K., Robinson, J., Gerry, C., Lucas, J., Assan, C., ... Graham, N. A. J. (2019). Productive instability of coral reef fisheries after climate-driven regime shifts. *Nature Ecology & Evolution*, 3, 183–190. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-018-0715-z>
- Russ, G. R., & Alcala, A. C. (1989). Effects of intense fishing pressure on an assemblage of coral reef fishes. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 56, 13–27. <https://doi.org/10.3354/meps056013>
- Sheppard, C. R. C., Harris, A., & Sheppard, A. L. S. (2008). Archipelago-wide coral recovery patterns since 1998 in the Chagos Archipelago, central Indian Ocean. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 362, 109–117. <https://doi.org/10.3354/meps07436>
- Stuart-Smith, R. D., Brown, C. J., Ceccarelli, D. M., & Edgar, G. J. (2018). Ecosystem restructuring along the Great Barrier Reef following mass coral bleaching. *Nature*, 560, 92–96. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0359-9>
- van Hooidonk, R., Maynard, J., Tamelander, J., Gove, J., Ahmadi, G., Raymundo, L., ... Planes, S. (2016). Local-scale projections of coral reef futures and implications of the Paris Agreement. *Scientific Reports*, 6, 39666. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep39666>
- Vergés, A., McCosker, E., Mayer-Pinto, M., Coleman, M. A., Wernberg, T., Ainsworth, T., & Steinberg, P. D. (2019). Tropicalisation of temperate reefs: Implications for ecosystem functions and management actions. *Functional Ecology*, 33, 1000–1013. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.13310>
- Vroom, P. S., Page, K., Kenyon, J., & Brainard, R. (2006). Algae-dominated reefs. *American Scientist*, 94, 430–437. <https://doi.org/10.1511/2006.61.1004>
- Williams, G. J., Gove, J. M., Eynaud, Y., Zgliczynski, B. J., & Sandin, S. A. (2015). Local human impacts decouple natural biophysical relationships on Pacific coral reefs. *Ecography*, 38, 751–761. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ecog.01353>
- Williams, G. J., Graham, N. A. J., Jouffray, J.-B., Norström, A. V., Nyström, M., Gove, J. M., ... Wedding, L. M. (2019). Coral reef ecology in the Anthropocene. *Functional Ecology*, 33, 1014–1022. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.13290>
- Woodhead, A. J., Hicks, C. C., Norström, A. V., Williams, G. J., & Graham, N. A. J. (2019). Coral reef ecosystem services in the Anthropocene. *Functional Ecology*, 33, 1023–1034. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.13331>
- Zwada, K. J. A., Madin, J. S., Baird, A., Bridge, T. C. L., & Dornelas, M. (2019). Morphological traits can track coral reef responses to the Anthropocene. *Functional Ecology*, 33, 962–975. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.13358>

**How to cite this article:** Williams GJ, Graham NAJ. Rethinking coral reef functional futures. *Funct Ecol*. 2019;33:942–947. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.13374>