

Geospatial Information Development and Application in the Federated States of Micronesia

Summary of Stakeholder Kick-off Meeting and Initial Activities

Prepared by University of Hawaii: Pacific Disaster Center, Island Research & Education Initiative, and the College of Micronesia – FSM for the UH Sea Grant College Program, Administrator of the NOAA Coastal Storms Program in the Pacific Islands Region





PACIFIC DISASTER CENTER





Table of Contents

Summary of Kick-off Meeting2
Project Overview2
Meeting Overview2
Workshop Results
Next Steps6
Acknowledgments6
Appendix A: Project Overview Presentation7
Appendix B: Agenda24
Appendix C: IREI Resources Presentation
Appendix D: FSM Census and SBOC Resources Presentation40
Appendix E: Preliminary Data Inventory55
Appendix F: Participant List65
Appendix G: Compiled Workshop Session Notes69
Appendix H: Data Inventory Questionnaire73

Summary of Kick-off Meeting

Project Overview

A stakeholder kick-off meeting for the project entitled "Geospatial Information Development and Application to Support Effective Decision Making in the Federated States of Micronesia" was held Thursday, May 2nd at the National Campus of the College of Micronesia – FSM. The project is funded through the NOAA Sea Grant Coastal Storms Program. The project team consists of Pacific Disaster Center (PDC), the Island Research & Education Initiative (IREI), and the College of Micronesia – FSM (COM-FSM).

The primary goal of the two year project is to help institutions with disaster management (DM) and disaster risk reduction (DRR) responsibilities access and use locally relevant geospatial information. Existing data will be assembled and catalogued, and new data will be developed to fill identified gaps. Positive impacts are expected to be particularly significant for the Outer Islands, for which limited systematically collected spatial data exists. These data assets will be incorporated into a free, web-accessible decision support and information sharing platform. Training will focus on the use of spatial information to support decision making throughout the disaster management cycle, and will familiarize the local DM and DRR community with specific resources through which data will be made available and the applications through which the data can be used.

The project overview slides presented at the kick-off meeting are included as Appendix A.

Meeting Overview

The one day kick-off meeting was intended to familiarize local stakeholders with the project and project partners, help identify local data priorities and applications, and facilitate the initiation of data-sharing agreements and data collection and compilation. The meeting was divided into two segments. After welcoming remarks and introductions, the morning consisted of presentations and demonstrations outlining the project, partner roles and local resources, and information sharing and dissemination mechanisms. An agenda is included as Appendix B. Presentations on IREI resources and activities and on FSM Census related resources are included as Appendices C and D. COM-FSM resources, <u>www.geomicronesia.fm</u> and PDC's DisasterAWARE platform (see

<u>http://www.pdc.org/solutions/products/disasteraware/</u>) and Global Hazards Information Network (<u>www.ghin.pdc.org</u>) were discussed without aids or were demonstrated online.

The afternoon sessions were conducted in workshop format. Small groups discussed and reported out on a series of questions related to data applications, requirements and resources. Groups were created in rough alignment with areas of practice in order to facilitate more focused interaction: Disaster Management; Environment; and Development. The question prompts and results are outlined in a subsequent section. In between small group discussions, IREI and PDC representatives presented a preliminary data inventory and data model and solicited initial feedback on organization, format and potential adaptation. The initial inventory is provided as Appendix E.

Eighteen people, including project partner representatives, participated in the meeting. All four states, as well as the national government, were represented. A variety of thematic areas of practice were also represented, ranging from infrastructure to environment and education to emergency management. All

have a role in effectively reducing disaster risk. Representatives of local NGOs and regional organizations were also invited, but could not make it due to scheduling conflicts. Those that expressed initial interest will be included in future communication. A list of active participants and interested parties is included as Appendix F. The list includes affiliation and contact information.

Workshop Results

Following is a synthesis of the group responses to each question prompt. Dominant themes for each session question are discussed. The raw notes are included as Appendix G for reference.

Session 1, Question 1

Think of the decisions you make and the activities your organization is responsible for. How do you currently use geospatial information to execute your mission?

Specific responses reflected the breadth of practice represented in the room. However, four crosscutting themes emerged during the discussion: monitoring, assessment and analysis, planning and management, and communication. This indicates that participants use, or would like to use, geospatial resources to support a full cycle of activities required to execute their organizational responsibilities. These activities include establishing baselines, performing analyses that provide evidence for decision making, allocating resources and prioritizing action, and communicating with policy makers and the general public. Planning and management was particularly emphasized, which highlights the crosscutting need for *access* to quality geospatial outputs, regardless of origin. The organizational requirements to produce geospatial data or perform geospatial analysis were somewhat more limited, though the need for geospatial competency was identified as a key theme throughout the workshop sessions.

Discussion of communication focused on facilitating understanding and awareness of specific issues for specific purposes and audiences. However, accessibility also depends on communication. Interorganizational sharing of geospatial information was not identified as a key activity of any of the organizations except COM-FSM during this session, though the Office of Statistics, Budget and Economic Management, Overseas Development Assistance, and Compact Management (SBOC) illustrated ways in which information was made available for viewing in a previous discussion. Challenges and constraints on information sharing were elaborated upon in a later session.

Session 1, Question 2

What geospatial information and/or resources would help your organization achieve your goals more effectively or more easily?

This discussion focused on identifying "wish list" resources: What do you want that you don't have? Responses fell mostly into three broad categories: better information sharing; better data; and increased physical, technical, and human resources to support the use and understanding of geospatial information.

Information sharing was primarily brought up by groups that do not have in-house GIS resources, but depend on geospatial information to better execute their functions, such as the Office of Environment and Emergency Management (OEEM). Applications and/or advantages identified by this organization

and others included better emergency management support (especially in the coordination of response), reduced cost, and enhancement of current datasets. A government office devoted to geospatial information management was also brought up within the context of information sharing.

"Better data" can be further broken down into modeling outputs and base data. Predictive information for short term and long term weather and climate conditions was discussed, as were more advanced representation techniques such as 3-D modeling. Desired improvements to base data included finer spatial resolution and more extensive spatial coverage, extending to the outer islands. Specific data collection methods / development formats mentioned include hyperspectral and multispectral imagery, field surveys, Lidar, and aerial photos. DEMs were thought to be out of date. Another identified gap in base data was the appropriate representation and application of place names.

Enhanced hardware and human capacity were identified by multiple groups as "wish list" items. Improved hardware (both mobile and stationary) was linked to more efficient collection, storage, and access. Discussion of human capacity focused on increased technical knowledge and more people to use it and apply it. OEEM emphasized the importance of accessing geospatial information and having personnel who can explain and use it; in-house hardware and software can come later.

Session 1, Question 3

What constraints do you have in accessing, managing, or using geospatial information?

"Wish list" issues identified in the foregoing discussion were reiterated here. The speed and consistency of internet connections was identified as a major constraint in effectively accessing data. Limitations of hardware capacity were also identified as a barrier to storing, managing, serving and analyzing data. In addition, not all organizations have software that supports the management, analysis, and visualization of geospatial data. Lastly, participants pointed to the need for increased human capacity to understand and use both geospatial information and GIS software; while many at the kick-off meeting have a deep knowledge of GIS and geospatial information, the pool is relatively small.

Session 2, Question 1

What geospatial resources can your organization make available to others in FSM and/or beyond? Please describe thematic content, coverage, and format, if possible. If you are primarily a data user, how and from what sources do you access information?

During this session, a number of resources that are currently available at various organizations were specified. This exercise allowed those who needed certain kinds of information to begin to identify those who might have it and make connections. Participants also identified sources of additional geospatial information leveraged by stakeholders, as well as potential sources of additional useful information. The discussion and white-boarding was intended as a beginning, not an end. These initial notes will be systematically augmented through a data inventory questionnaire distributed to the participants (Appendix H). The initial notes on theme, format, and coverage developed in the workshop are included in the inventory questionnaire as a starting point. So are the suggested sources. During this session, participants mentioned a previous inventory that was funded and performed in 2005¹, but was never

¹ Sweet, S.D. 2006. *Geographic Information Science (GIS) Status Report for the Federated States of Micronesia: A Conservation Perspective*. Kolonia, Pohnpei: The Nature Conservancy.

used as a basis for improving data quality and availability in FSM. A copy was recently provided by one of the participants and will be reviewed and used to locate additional data sources and compare constraints and gaps.

Specific geospatial datasets and suggested information sources are included in Appendix G. These datasets meet some of the requirements discussed in Session 1. SBOC has a wealth of information, including demographic and livelihood information for the outer islands. Some infrastructure data is also available for the outer islands. Fine resolution base data also exists. However, spatial coverage appears to be disjointed, and attribute data is limited. The data inventory questionnaire will help to better characterize gaps and match requirements to resources.

Session 2, Question 2

What specific challenges do you see to sharing information?

Participants identified three main sets of challenges to information sharing: institutional barriers, interoperability issues, and coordination/communication barriers. Some potential means of overcoming these challenges were also discussed by participants.

Institutional barriers were of three types: challenges posed by the lack of copyright laws and institutional protections; organizational protectionism; and sensitivity of information. Lack of institutional protections like copyright laws can make individuals and organizations less willing to share data. Before information is officially published, people ask for it. Information has been misused in the past, but there is no perceived recourse. One suggestion was not to release information until it is "officially" published. In this project, all sources will be clearly cited and include use constraints. Formal data sharing agreements can codify terms. It was also noted that information can be a source of power and can ensure organizational or individual relevance. This is a more difficult barrier to overcome, though ensuring full credit and incentivizing sharing by giving something in return can mitigate impacts. Sensitivity of government or corporate property is an ongoing challenge, as businesses wish to protect assets and governments must by law protect individual identities captured during data collection efforts. If specifying attributes are removed and/or information is aggregated, data sharing becomes more feasible.

Interoperability barriers can be technical or can stem from lack of information about the origin of the data. The institutional barriers cited above also contribute to a lack of interoperability. Specific technical challenges identified include not being able to open files, and incompatible software or file formats. The project implementation partners will be processing data to support multiple means of access and common formats. Comprehensive metadata can help prevent interoperability issues stemming from lack of information on data accuracy, reliability, and appropriateness for the intended application.

Coordination and communication could also be considered a means of facilitating interoperability. Specific challenges cited by participants included inadequate feedback loops and tracking mechanisms, passing of data through multiple hands with no records, and limited publicity and awareness of available data and resources. Metadata can help identify processing history, but does not help solve challenges associated with data enhancements and updates that are made in isolation and not noted or shared. The kick-off meeting was a first step in publicizing resources and those efforts will continue throughout the project. It is hoped that relationships and processes established over the course of the project will facilitate information sharing beyond the final workshops.

Next Steps

This project has components related to data development, information sharing, and capacity enhancement, three overarching themes identified during workshop sessions. Results reinforced the project's relevance and helped identify new leads and applications. The kick-off meeting as a whole helped stakeholders and implementing partners get to know one another and set the stage for future collaboration.

Following the kick-off meeting, the data inventory questionnaire was sent to participants, requesting information on data themes, ownership, and spatial and temporal coverage (Appendix H). The questionnaire will enable implementing partners to more systematically compile information on what data are available, what data are releasable and any constraints on use or release (these matters will be addressed more fully during the data collection and documentation process). Danko Taborosi of IREI will be following up with meeting participants and suggested contacts in Chuuk from May 13th to the 23rd. He will then travel to Yap the last week of May. During these visits, he will help data owners complete the data inventory questionnaire and obtain what data has been approved for sharing and can be easily transferred. Taborosi and Snyther Biza of COM-FSM will have ongoing contact with stakeholders on Pohnpei. Lara Payne of PDC will be travelling to Pohnpei and Yap from the 8th to the 17th of July for data collection and meetings with stakeholders. An initial project trip to Kosrae has yet to be scheduled, but is anticipated to be complete by October.

Over the next month, the inventory questionnaire results will be compiled and prioritized. Suggested source organizations not at the kick-off meeting will be contacted by phone to introduce the project, with a follow-up request to complete the inventory questionnaire. Project partners will refine the data model and prepare for an initial release of updated data in July. The project team will continue to develop and attribute data in cooperation with stakeholders in preparation for a second release of newly developed data in December. Data collected or developed at the state or island level will be merged into FSM-wide datasets using a common projection for management, storage and project level dissemination. More specific geographies can be extracted as needed.

Acknowledgments

We would like to extend a special thanks to the College of Micronesia - FSM for their gracious hospitality in hosting the kick-off meeting. Kalahngan.

Appendix A: Project Overview Presentation



GEOSPATIAL INFORMATION DEVELOPMENT AND APPLICATION IN THE FEDERATED STATES OF MICRONESIA

MARKED

Project Overview

May 2, 2013 College of Micronesia, National Campus Pohnpei, FSM

Goal

 Enhance the capacity of those supporting DM and DRR activities in FSM to access and use locally relevant geospatial information.





Objectives

- Develop and publish a comprehensive, locally relevant, and accurate set of geospatial data to support DM and DRR activities for all of FSM.
- Incorporate geospatial resources into a free, web-accessible decision support system.
- Enhance local capacity to use data resources and decision support tools through training.
- Enhance awareness and promote broad usage of the resources.



Project Activities

- Inventory and Compilation of Existing Data
- Development and Compilation of New Data
- Data Integration into Data Sharing and DSS Platforms
- Training on Spatial Data for DM Decision Making
- Local Outreach Workshop
- Regional Promotion
- Monitoring and Evaluation



Inventory and Compilation of Existing Data

- Kick-off Meeting
- Data Collection
- Data Inventory
- Data Collection Plan to Fill Gaps





Development and Compilation of New Data

- Focus on the Outer Islands
- Digitization of Features from Georeferenced Imagery
- Attribution, Verification and Enhancement through Interviews with Residents





Integration into Data Sharing and Decision Support Platforms

- Data Processing
- Metadata
- Data Sharing Mechanisms
 - geomicronesia.fm
 - Global Hazards Information Network
- O Decision Support Platform
 - DisasterAWARE
- Back-up Copies
- Ongoing Updates



PACIFIC DISASTER

CENTER

IRE

"Spatial Data for Disaster Management Decision Making" Training/Workshop

- Focused on those with DM or DRR Responsibilities
- Opportunity to Interact Directly with the Data
- Activities to Familiarize participants with Application of the Data for DM Activities
- Training on the Use of Project Tools for Awareness, Info Sharing, and Decision Making



Local Outreach Workshop

Less Technical

- Target Entities that Do Not Use, but Could Benefit from Geospatial Information
- Familiarize with Project and Project Resources
- Familiarize with Basics of GIS
- Brainstorm on Applications



Regional Outreach

- Web Stories and Press Releases
- Newsletters
- "Fact Sheets"
- Select Workshop Materials Made Available through Website
- Publicize and Share Information through Regular Activities and Interactions
- Identify Specific Opportunities to Publicize and Share



Monitoring and Evaluation

- Opdates on Progress and Milestones
- Post Workshop Surveys



Anticipated Outcomes

- First Systematically Collected, Locally Verified
 Data Sets for the Outer Islands
- Increased Access to Relevant Geospatial Resources
- Greater Familiarity with the Application of Spatial Data for DM and DRR Decision Making
- Greater Awareness of GIS
- Enhanced Effectiveness of Range of Activities



Timeline

- ~2 Year Project
- May 2013: Kick-off Meeting
- Early July 2013: First Data Release
- Ocember 2013: Second Data Release
- September 2014: Final Data Release
- October 2014: Workshops
- December 2014: Final report and Project Close



Funding Mechanism

- Pacific Islands Coastal Storms Program
 - Part of Larger effort led by NOAA
 - Hosted by University of Hawaii Sea Grant College Program
 - Goal is to reduce the impact of coastal hazards to Pacific Island communities, ecosystems and economies



Implementing Partners

- Pacific Disaster Center
- Island Research & Education Initiative
- College of Micronesia FSM















Questions?



Heather Bell Pacific Disaster Center hbell@pdc.org www.pdc.org

Snyther Biza College of Micronesia sbiza78@gmail.com www.comfsm.fm Danko Taborosi Island Research & Education Initiative taborosi@gmail.com www.islandresearch.org













Appendix B: Agenda





Kick-Off Meeting: Geospatial Information Development and Application in the Federated States of Micronesia

May 2, 2013 College of Micronesia – FSM, Pohnpei, FSM

Morning 8:45 am - 9:00 am Arrival 9:00 am - 9:10 am Welcome and Workshop Overview Jennifer Hainrick, Director of the Learning Resources Center and Acting Vice President for Instructional Affairs, College of Micronesia (COM-FSM) Heather Bell, Pacific Disaster Center (PDC) 9:10 am - 9:30 am Introductions All Participants 9:30 am - 10:00 am **Project Overview** Heather Bell 10:00 am - 10:30 am **Partner Overviews** Heather Bell Danko Taborosi, Island Research & Education Initiative (IREI) Snyther Biza, COM-FSM 10:30 am - 10:45 am Break 10:45 am – 10:55 am Introduction to FSM Census Data and other Office of Statistics Resources Arnold Canete, Office of Statistics, Budget and Economic Management, Overseas Development Assistance, and Compact Management 10:55 am - 11:05 am Introduction to COM-FSM GIS Resources Snyther Biza 11:05 am – 11:15 am Introduction to IREI Information Resources and geomicronesia.fm Danko Taborosi 11:15 am - 11:25 am Introduction to geomicronesia.fm Joyce Anson, FSM Telecom (stepping in for Conservation Society of Pohnpei based on involvement) 11:25 am - 11:45 am Intro to DisasterAWARE and the Global Hazards Information Network Lara Payne, PDC 11:45 pm - 12:00 pm Information Sharing (Institutional and Technical Requirements) Lara Payne

Afternoon	
12:00 pm – 1:30 pm	Lunch
1:30 pm– 2:45 pm	Data Needs and Applications Discussion All Participants, facilitated by PDC, IREI and COM-FSM
2:45 pm – 3:00 pm	Current Inventory Lara Payne Maria Kottermair, IREI
3:00 pm – 3:15 pm	Break
3:15 pm – 4:30 pm	Data Resources Discussion and Mapping All Participants, facilitated by PDC, IREI and COM-FSM
4:30 pm – 5:00 pm	Wrap-up and Next Steps

Appendix C: IREI Resources Presentation



Island Research & Education Initiative



GIS information resources at IREI

Kick-Off Meeting: Geospatial Information Development and Application in the Federated States of Micronesia COM National Campus, FSM, May 2, 2013

Geospatial Data for the Outer Islands

Outer Islands are some of the most geographically dynamic and environmentally sensitive places in Micronesia, yet remain poorly documented in geospatial terms.



1. Collection of Historical Maps

Inventory and procurement of paper maps of FSM's Outer Islands

For the low islands in Micronesia, the United States Geological Survey never created topographic maps analogous to those of the main islands. Some maps were created by the US Army and Navy, particularly in the years following WWII and were often based on earlier maps from the Japanese period. Those maps are difficult to obtain and lack detail. Precise GIS data is not available at all.



2. Digitized Historical Maps

Scanning, georeferencing and digitizing extant maps

IREI has created GIS datasets based on historical published maps of the Outer Islands using the following approach:

- acquired all known US Army Corps of Engineers topographic maps and British Admiralty, US Navy, and NOAA charts
- scanned paper maps and georeferenced them as JPG and GeoTIFF images
- digitized key features such as shorelines, reefs, paths, vegetation outlines, settlement locations, etc. (all by manual tracing)
- achieved 100% GIS coverage of Outer Islands as rasters and vector data as available from historical sources

Puluwat Atoll, Japanese sketch, 1920

Alet

Elangelab

Puluwat Atoll, US Army Corps of Engineers, 1950

Puluwat Atoll

3. Digitized Imagery Manual processing of hi-res imagery



As part of the current project, we now aim to create a second comprehensive GIS dataset for the Outer Island based on up-to-date highresolution imagery:

- acquired DigitalGlobe QB-2 orthoimagery
- currently digitizing at large scale a wide range of features, including reefs by type and depth, vegetation by type, non-vegetated areas, man-made structures including house footprints, etc.
- completed 5 atolls thus far, plan to complete all 39 over the course of this project

Sorol Atoll, digitized from QB-2

4. Data Comparisons

Highly varying levels of detail among different available datasets

Kapingamarangi Atoll



4. Data Comparisons

Highly varying levels of detail among different available datasets



5. Collection of Place Names

Audio recording, transcription, and documentation of traditional toponyms

Toponyms (place names) are widely recognized as some of the most important repositories of ancient cultural heritage, hidden oral histories, and evidence of indigenous peoples' intimate connection to their lands. Due to colonial history of Micronesia, place names are often mistaken, misapplied, misspelled, or have simply remained unrecorded (especially on small scales).

Audio recording

IREI plans a comprehensive effort to document Outer Island place names:

Kapingamarangi Atoll

- place names are audio recorded in interviews with local leaders and elders
- transcription is carried out in collaboration with linguists and local language arts specialists
- Index place names are written to conform to standard orthography (spelling system) of the particular language
- aim is to add locally relevant and linguistically correct place names to future GIS datasets for Outer Islands
6. Geotagged Photography Ground-level images linked to locality information

Geotagging is a concept in which geographic coordinates are recorded with photographic information. Using a camera synchronized with a GPS logger device, latitude and longitude data can be embedded in each individual image. This method adds a geospatial dimension to photodocumentation work, and allows a vast array of unique applications.



Geotagged images browsable in Google Earth™



Digital photographs









This is an on-going informal imagery-collection program:

- we routinely photograph Micronesia's natural features, scenery, structures, people, cultural aspects
- whenever possible, camera is coupled with a GPS logger device which records location of each image
- images are geotagged using specialized software which embeds coordinates within JPG/TIFF files

7. Geographic Education

Developing resources to teach local and regional geography of Micronesia



8. GIS-related Projects Outside of the FSM

Web-based data servers, published materials, and ongoing work

IREI is based in Pohnpei but works on projects throughout the Micronesian region. In Guam, in particular, we have several completed and ongoing projects utilizing GIS data and technology. These include two completed web-based products, two published books, and three other publications in progress.



Digital Atlas of Southern Guam Natural Resources * www.hydroguam.net *

Coastal Guam Aerial Imagery Database * www.weriguam.org/v2/interface *



Atlas of Guam Environments * published in 2013 *





Guam Street Finder * in progress *



Protected Areas of Guam map * not yet unpublished *

Student Atlas of Guam textbook * published in 2007 *



Guam Classroom Map * not yet unpublished *

Kalahngan.



Island Research & Education Initiative PO Box PS 303, Palikir Pohnpei, FM 96941 Appendix D: FSM Census and SBOC Resources Presentation

GIS Applied in Statistics



Office of SBOC





- Current Uses of GIS and Spatial Information
- Plan Use and Future Development
- Resources Currently Available
- Inventory
- Challenges
- Sample maps

Current Uses of GIS and Spatial Information

- Publication maps for enumeration areas in all FSM states for census and surveys;
- Spatial presentation of census results;
- Visual representation of statistical information;
- Enable users to create their own maps via web;
- Improve statistical analysis;
- Improve understanding and use of statistical indicators/outputs.

Plan Use and Future Development

- Integration of geospatial statistical data to enable greater value and use of statistics;
- Continue to collect spatial data in other areas;
- Continue to update the location of households;
- Linking of GPS locations of households to census data;
- Continue to present more information to the public using maps;

Plan Use and Future Development (continued)

- Installation of a map server that hosts a geodatabase made available to web users;
- Communicate statistical information to policy and decision makers as well as the general public;
- Avail census and survey results to government agencies and users for planning purposes;
- Assist other agencies in effective monitoring, for instance, health agencies to be able to monitor spread of diseases geographically;



- ArcGIS software
- RETADAM software and database
- PopGIS software
- High Resolution Satellite Imagery of all islands in the FSM
- Some DEM of the main islands in the FSM;

Resources Currently Available (continued)

- GPS location of all households in the FSM
- Maritime Boundaries of the FSM;
- Staff with some knowledge in the use of GIS



- All data listed above are in WGS84
- Census Data limited to GPS location of households and linking to census data is ongoing
- Database on household characteristics in outer islands are linked to map spots





- Shift in DEM files limits advanced geo-spatial presentation and usage
- Projection of some hi-res maps are not accurate
- Limited hardware
- Capacity building

Samples





Statt- 0.00 miles

Samples





Office of SBOC, Division of Statistics

Samples





FSM HIES 2013 Enumeration Area Map









Please indicate in the map where the actual house is located, if necessary



Home Census 2010 Statistics	Publications	ISIN: SUCE PER STATISTICAL STREET ONLINE STATISTICAL publishe
Housing Characteristics	x	🖀 Home 🖾 Census 2010 🏁 Statistics 📰 Publications
Basic Cross Tab Area List Averages Housing Thematic	Medians Thematic Map	Housing Thematic Mapping Type of walls
Table Title	nition	FSM 2010 Census Thematic Map - KOSRAE
(none) Type of living quarter Type of walls Type of floor Type of floor Type of floor Type of floor Type of floor Type of floor Number of rooms Year housing unit is compl MAIN source of drinking with MAIN Washing water Bathtub or shower connect Output Level: Enumeration District	eted tter ed to piped running water 💌	Legend Concrete
Copyright & 2012 Office of SBDC Palkin, Pohnpel, FSM 98941 Contact us @ tel no(+681) 320- smail . <u>it tuppertication tim</u>	1823/320-2428 ts.fm	13 - 20 20 - 24 20 - 24 27 - 31 33 - 42 43 - 57 Municipality Utwe

GIS Applied in Statistics



Office of SBOC



Appendix E: Preliminary Data Inventory

			Yap Outer		Chuuk Outer		Pohnpei Outer				
	Data	Yap Last	Isles last	Chuuk Last	Isles Last	Pohnpei Last	Isles Last	Kosrae Last		PDC	Source_
Layer Name	Owner	<u>Update</u>	<u>Update</u>	<u>Update</u>	Update	<u>Update</u>	Update	<u>Update</u>	Notes	metadata	<u>Metadata</u>
airport	PDC	2009		2009		2009		2009		у	
airport_area	PDC	2009				2009		2009		у	
	PDC/	2009				2009		2009			
bridge	USACE	(incomplete)				(incomplete)		(incomplete?)		у	
						2009					
						(incomplete?			*need to add		
						3819			USGS buildings		
		2009 (govt		2009 (govt	2009 (govt	RESIDENTIAL			for Chuuk, need		
building	MC/USGS	bldg only)		bldg only)*	bldg only)*	features)			metadata first	у	
						2009					
						(incomplete, 52					
						features,		2009			
						commercial/		(uncomplete, 8			
buisiness						critical)		features)		у	
						(uncomplete? 8					
cemetery	?					features)				у	
				2009				2009			
		2009 (10		(incomplete, 1		2009 (30		(incomplete, 1			
church		features)		feature)		features)		feature)		у	
				2009 (1		2009 (9					
clinic				feature)		features)				у	
					2009 (2				completeness		
comms		2009		2009	features)	2009		2009	unknown	у	
electric	MC					2009		2009		у	
		2009 (1									
fire_station	PDC	feature)				2009 (1 feature)				у	
					2009 (E.						
flood_hazard	MC	2009	2009	2009	Chuuk only)	2009		2009		у	
FSM_Bnd (land)		2009		2009	2009	2009				у	
fuel_tank	FSMPC	2009						2009		у	
		2009 (4									
hist_earthquake	PDC	features)								у	
									*need		
									capabilties for all		
hospital	PDC	2009		2009		2009		2009	features	у	
land_cover	MC	2009		2009		2009		2009		У	
land_form	MC	2009		2009		2009		2009		у	
landslide_hazard	MC/USGS	2009		2013	2013	2009		2009		У	

meterology	?	2009				2009				У	
municipal_bnd											
(village Names)	several	2009				2009		2009		у	
outer_island_profil									*need more		
es	IOM/PDC	2013	2013	2013	2013	2013	2013	2013	data from IOM	у	
place_name	GNIS	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009		у	
		2009				2009		2009			
		(incomplete, 1		2009		(incomplete, 2		(incomplete, 1	*need all		
police_station	PDC	feature)		(incomplete)		features)		feature)	capabilties info	у	
									*need all		
port	PDC	2009		2009		2009		2009	capabilties info	у	
	PUC/	2009 (5		2009 (1				2009 (2	*need all		
power_plant	FSMPC	features)		feature)		2009 (1 feature)		features)	capabilties info	у	
				2009 (1							
quarry	?			feature)		2009 (1 feature)				у	
road	multiple	2009		2009		2009				у	
									*don't use much		
									b/c of viewer		
school	?/multiple	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	basemaps	у	
sea_wall	unk					features)		2009		у	
		2009 (5									
shelter	USACE	features)								у	
states_bnd	?	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009	2009		*complete	у	
stream	USACE	2009		2009		2009		2009		у	
wastewater_line	YSPCS	2009				2009		2009		у	
wastewater_trmt_		2009		2009		2009		2009			
pt	YSPSC	(incomplete)		(incomplete)		(incomplete)		(incomplete)		у	
water_line	YSPSC	2009						2009		у	
		2009 (4		2009		2009					
water_tank	YSPCS	features)		(incomplete)		(incomplete)		2009		у	

СНИИК

Task: Files checked for consistent projection

Name of folder	Shapefile	Туре	Projection	Action 1	New Projection	Meta Attribu	Comment	Action 2	NEW FILE
dem_rectified	demtora_truc1	GRID	WGS84 UTM 84N						
	project_demt1	GRID	WGS84 UTM 84N						chk_dem
	truck10m-corrected.dem	GRID	NAD83 UTM 84N						
	truck10m-corrected-recl.	ir IMG	NAD83 UTM 84N						
	outline-fromtruck10mde	n poly	NAD83 UTM 84N	project	WGS84 UTM 56N	١			
elev_contours	chuuk_elvzns	poly	undefined	define project	ic WGS84	,	wrong project	i shift ~390 NE	chk_elevations
grassland	grassInd	poly	WGS84 UTM 56N	define project	ic WGS84	,	wrong project	i project WGS84 UTM 56N	chk_grassland
hillshade	chuuk_hillshd	GRID	WGS84 UTM 56N						chk_hillshade
	truck10m-corr-hls.img	IMG	NAD83 UTM 84N						
hydrography	chuuk_hyd	GRID	WGS84 UTM 56N						can't be copied
islands (all state)	chk_state_islands	line	WGS84 UTM 56N						chk_islands-state
mangroves	chmamgrv	poly	WGS84 UTM 56N	define project	ic WGS84	,	wrong project	i project WGS84 UTM 56N	chk_mangroves
outer islands	chouterislands	poly	WGS84 UTM 56N	define project	ic WGS84	,	wrong project	i project WGS84 UTM 56N	chk_islands-outer
reefs (all state)	chreef	poly	WGS84 UTM 56N	define project	ic WGS84	,	wrong project	i project WGS84 UTM 56N	chk_reefs
rivers	chuukrivers	line	WGS84 UTM 56N	define project	ic WGS84	,	wrong project	i project WGS84 UTM 56N	chk_rivers
rivers from dem-strahle	e rivers_dem-strahler	line	undefined	define project	ic WGS84	v	wrong project	irproject WGS84 UTM 56N/ shift 3	6 chk_rivers-strahler
	rivers_usgs	line	undefined	define project	ic WGS84	,	wrong project	i project WGS84 UTM 56N/ shift 3	6 chk_rivers-usgs
	rivers_usgs-source-pts	point	undefined	define project	ic WGS84	,	wrong project	i project WGS84 UTM 56N/ shift 3	9 chk_rivers-usgs-source-pts
rivers lines	chuuk_riv-project	line	WGS84 UTM 56N			:	similar to chu	ukrivers (some streams are not inc	luded here though) but shifted
rivers riparian zone	chuuk_riparian	poly	WGS84 UTM 56N						
roads and trails	chtransp	line	WGS84 UTM 56N	define project	ic WGS84	,	wrong project	i project WGS84 UTM 56N	chk_roads
swamps	chswamp	poly	WGS84 UTM 56N	define project	ic WGS84	,	wrong project	i project WGS84 UTM 56N	chk_swamps
urban zones	churban	poly	WGS84 UTM 56N	define project	ic WGS84	,	wrong project	i project WGS84 UTM 56N	chk_urban-zones
vegetation	pic_fsm_chuuk_draft	poly	WGS84 UTM 56N			÷	30m SE		chk_vegetation
vegetation 2	usgs_veg	poly	undefined	define project	ic WGS84		~420m off	project WGS84 UTM 56N	
	usgs_veg2	poly	undefined	define project	ic WGS84	i	identical to	shift ~420 m NE & project to WG	Si chk_vegetation-usgs
watersheds (merge all	ir wenobasin10 change to	o TIF	undefined			ŕ	???		

KOSRAE

Task: Files checked for consistent projection

Name of folder	Original Shapefile Na	туре	Projection	Action 1	New Projection	Metad	Comment	Action 2	NEW FILE Name
airport	kos_airport	poly	WGS84 UTM 58N			no	perfectly!!		kos_airport
contours	contours_kosrae_utm	! line	WGS84 UTM 58N	change (define) pr	c Clarke 1966 UTM 58	no	about 40 meters off	project to WGS84	l kos_10m-contours
dem	prjkos_dem1	GRID	WGS84 UTM 58N			no	same, just diff		
	kosrae10m-corrected.	USGSDEM	NAD83 UTM 58N			no			
	demtora_kosr1	GRID	NAD83 UTM 58N	project	WGS84 UTM 58N	no			
elev contour polys	kos_elvzns	poly	undefined	define projection	WGS84	no	with DEM, derived	project to WGS84	l kos_50m-contour-intervals.shp
hillshade	kos_hilshade	raster	WGS84 UTM 58N			no	_		kos_hillshade
mangrove	mangrove	poly	WGS84 UTM 58N	wrong projection		YES	projection yet,		
mangrove harvesting	mangrove harvesting	poly				no	projection yet		
Marine Park	marine park_rectified	poly	undefined			no	sure where it should		
municipal boundaries	municipal-lines	lines	undefined	define projection	WGS84 UTM 58N	no	with		kos_municipal-boundaries.shp
municipalities	kosrae_jurisdoctions_	cpoly	WGS84 UTM 58N			no	on west side, but a		kos_municipal-boundaries_poly.shp
reef	reef	poly	WGS84 UTM 58N			no	areas overlay better		kos_reef
rivers	river_dem-strahler	line	undefined	define projection	WGS84	no	areas close to coast	project to WGS84	l kos_rivers-dem-strahler.shp
rivers	river_usgs-source-pts	point	undefined	define projection	WGS84	no	60m to align with	project to WGS84	l kos_rivers-usgs-source.shp
rivers	rivers_usgs	line	undefined	define projection	WGS84	no	Kosrae CS too	project to WGS84	UTM 58N
rivers lines	kosrae_sdts_riv_Proje	line	WGS84 UTM 58N			no	but better aligned to		kos_rivers-usgs
rivers riparian zone	kosrae_sdts_riv_Proje	poly	WGS84 UTM 58N			no	100-m-buffer		kos_rivers-usgs-100m-buffer.shp
road track	road track	line	undefined	define projection	WGS84 UTM 58N	no	loops		
asphalt road	asphalt	line	undefined	define projection	WGS84 UTM 58N	no	overlays with ~ 60 m	-> kos_road-aspha	l kos_road-asphalt
roads digitized	roads_digi	line	WGS84 UTM 58N			no	doesn't follow roads		
sanctuary	sanctuary	poly	WGS84 UTM 58N			no	looks good		kos_sanctuary
shoreline	ks	poly	undefined	define projection	Clarke1966 UTM 58N	no		project to WGS84	UTM 58N
soils	USDA_soil_survey_reg	g poly	WGS84 UTM 58N	wrong projection	Kusaie_1951	no		project to WGS84	l kos_soils-usda
vegetation	usda_veg	poly	undefined	define projection	WGS84	no	shapefiles same	project to WGS84	UTM 58N
vegetation	usda_veglam150	poly	undefined	define projection	WGS84	no		project to WGS84	UTM 58N
vegetation	xusda_veg	poly	WGS84 UTM 58N			no	<i>.</i> .		
vegetation 2	usda_veg	poly	undefined	define projection	WGS84	no	records)	project to WGS84	UTM 58N
vegetation 2	usda_veg2	poly	undefined	define projection	WGS84	no	but with less	project to WGS84	l kos_veg-usda
vegetation 3	Kosrae_Veg_Polys_UT	⁻ poly	WGS84 UTM 58N			YES	but less records	spatial adjustment	kos_veg
watershed	watershed	poly	WGS			no	watersheds, just a		kos_watershed

POHNPEI

Task: Files checked for consistent projection, underway

Feature	Shapefile	Туре	Projection	Action 1	New Projectio Metadata	Attributes	Comment	Action 2	NEW FILE
base	base_utm_proj-shift			project - shift					
	coastline								
	coral_Project			shifted					
	crit_fac_bldgs_pn_utmz57								
	drainage_project			shifted					
	existing distribution line			project - shift					
	flood hazard			project					
hydrography	hyd								
hypsography	hyp								
	intactuplandforest_Project			shift					
	landslide_hazard_transform			project - shift					
	muni_dd84_utm			project - shift					
	municipality								
	park								
	park_buffer								
	PNI_BD_MarineSanctuary								
	pni_elvzns			project					
	pni_rds_trails_0709_Project								
	pni_ws_utm								
	pohnpei_riv_Project			shifted					
	Pohnpei_valuation								
	pohnpi_zone_Projec-shift								
	rivers_dem-strahler_project-utm								
	sewer_lines_adj_ut,57_Project			spat adjus	sted				
	soils_new_utm			shifted					
	state (outline)	poly							
	water_pipelines								
	watertanks			shift					
	wetlands			shift					

dem

Questionable files regarding location (could not be confirmed but may be correct)

alco_barge_utm

com fsm campus_shifted

fsm capitalCopy

intake structuresCopy

intensive agricultue_project-shift

marsh_shifted

pump station_project

schools_project

swampforest_shifted

water wellsCopy

Task: Files checked for consistent projection

Name of folder	Shapefile	Туре	Projection	Action 1	New Projection	Metada Attribute	s Comment	Action 2	NEW FILE
airport	airport	poly	WGS84	project	WGS84 UTM 54N	no	well		yap_airport
burned areas	burned_areas_2001	poly	WGS84	project	WGS84 UTM 54N	YES	off		yap_burned-areas-2001
	burned_areas_2002	poly	WGS84	project	WGS84 UTM 54N	YES			yap_burned-areas-2002
	burned_areas_2003	poly	WGS84	project	WGS84 UTM 54N	YES			yap_burned-areas-2003
	burned_areas_2004	poly	WGS84	project	WGS84 UTM 54N	YES			yap_burned-areas-2004
churches	churches	point	WGS84	project	WGS84 UTM 54N	some	may be ~20 off		yap_churches
coast guard reservation	coast_guard_reservation	poly	WGS84	project	WGS84 UTM 54N		looks good but		yap_coastguard-reservation
COM campus	college_of_micronesia	poly	WGS84	project	WGS84 UTM 54N		shifted ~ 20m	shift ~ 20ı	yap_collage-of-micronesia
conservation areas	conservation_areas/yap_con	poly	WGS84	project	WGS84 UTM 54N	YES	Conservancy,		yap_conservation-areas
dancing grounds	dancing_grounds	point	WGS84	project	WGS84 UTM 54N	Village na	m can't be		yap_dancing-grounds
dem	demtora_yap11/hilsha_demt	t GRID	NAD83 UTM 54N						
dem	prjtyap_demt1	GRID	WGS84 UTM 54N						yap_dem
hillshade	projyap_hill1	GRID	WGS84 UTM 54N						yap_hillshade
dem_rectified	yap10m-corrected.dem	USGSDEM	NAD83 UTM 54N						
elevation contours	contour 10m	line	WGS84	project	WGS84 UTM 54N		line (ragged)		yap_contours-10m
	contour 1m	line	WGS84	project	WGS84 UTM 54N				yap_contours-1m
	yap_elvzns	poly	undefined	define projection	WGS84		OFF - about	project to	WGS84 UTM 54N
fishtraps	fishtraps	line	WGS84	project	WGS84 UTM 54N		between 60-		yap_fishtraps
gilman_retaining_wall	gilman_retaining_wall	line	WGS84	project	WGS84 UTM 54N		W)		yap_gilman-retaining-wall
graves and burial grounds	graves	point	WGS84	project	WGS84 UTM 54N		cannot be		yap_graves
	burial ground	poly	WGS84	project	WGS84 UTM 54N		cannot be		yap_burial-grounds
hydrography	yap_hydro_points	point	undefined	define projection	WGS84 UTM 54N		QB rel good		yap_hydro-points
	yap_hydro_lines	line	undefined	define projection	WGS84 UTM 54N		QB rel good		yap_hydro-lines
	<pre>yap_hydrography_areas</pre>	poly	undefined	define projection	WGS84 UTM 54N		QB rel good		yap_hydro-areas
japanese lighthouse	japanese_lighthouse	poly	WGS84	define projection	WGS84 UTM 54N		confirmed, but		yap_lighthouse
labels reefs and channels	labels_reef and channel	point	undefined	define projection	WGS84		labelled, looks	project to	yap_reef-channel-labels
lakes	lakes	poly	WGS84	define projection	WGS84 UTM 54N		20m???		yap_lakes
meeting houses	magachgil	point	WGS84	define projection	WGS84 UTM 54N		cannot be		yap_magachgil
	mens_houses	point	WGS84	define projection	WGS84 UTM 54N		spoton, others		yap_mens-houses
	mens_houses_area	poly	WGS84	define projection	WGS84 UTM 54N		12-17m off		yap_mens-houses_poly
municipalities	municipalities	point	WGS84	define projection	WGS84 UTM 54N		good		yap_municipalities
	yap_municipalities	poly	undefined	define projection	WGS84		identical to	project to	yap_municipalities_poly
reefs	yapreef arc	line	undefined	define projection	WGS84			project to	WGS84 UTM 54N
	coral reefs	poly	WGS84	project	WGS84 UTM 54N		> shift NNW	shift	yap_coral-reefs

YAP

rivers and streams	rivers_usgs	line	undefined	define projection	WGS84		(shift NW),		
rivers and streams	streams	line	WGS84	project	WGS84 UTM 54N		follows DEM	project to	yap_rivers
rivers from dem-strahler	rivers_usgs-source-pts	point	undefined	define projection	WGS84		with yap_riv-		
	rivers_dem-strahler	line	undefined	define projection	WGS84		(shift NW),		
river lines	yap_riv-project	line	WGS84				as rivers_usgs		
rivers riparian zone	yap_riparian	poly	WGS84				rivers_usgs,		
roads	roads	line	WGS84	project	WGS84 UTM 54N		not		yap_roads
roads and trails	loop_road_culverts	points	WGS84	project	WGS84 UTM 54N		GPS data with a	ttributes, l	yap_culverts
	yap_roads-and-trails_lines	lines	undefined	define projection	WGS84 UTM 54N		shifted ~30m		
schools	school_tamilung_points	points	WGS84	project	WGS84 UTM 54N		on bldgs,		yap_school-tamilung
	school	points	WGS84	project	WGS84 UTM 54N		labelled, some		yap_schools
	headstart_centers	poly	WGS84	project	WGS84 UTM 54N		shifted ~15-	shift (15.4	yap_school-headstart-center
	school_bldgs	poly	WGS84	project	WGS84 UTM 54N		20m (not	shift (19.4	yap_schools_poly
shorelines	wa'ab	poly	WGS84	project	WGS84 UTM 54N		40m -> not	shift (40m	yap_shoreline
soils	soils	poly	WGS84	project	WGS84 UTM 54N		same offset as		yap_soils
vegetation	fsm_yap_pic_vegetation	poly	WGS84 UTM 54N				polygons not		
vegetation 2	usgs_veg	poly	undefined		????				
	usgs_veg2	poly	undefined		????				
vegetation 3	vegetation	poly	WGS84	project	WGS84 UTM 54N		shifted ~27m	shift	yap_vegetation
villages	villages	point	WGS84	project	WGS84 UTM 54N	YES	can't be		yap_villages
	yap_villages	area	undefined	define projection	WGS84	no		project to	yap_villages_poly
	yapvillagemap	.jps	undefined	define projection	WGS84	no		project to	Yap_village-map
watersheds	watershed_boundaries	line	WGS84	project	WGS84 UTM 54N	YES	with hillshade		yap_watersheds

Task: Files checked for consistent projection

Name of folder	Shapefile	Туре	Projection	Action 1	New Projectic Metada Attributes	Comment Actio	NEW FILE
ABS areas	ABS_All_Areas	poly	World_Cylindrical_E	c project	WGS84		fsm_abs
all FSM rivers lines	fsm_rivers_usgs	line	undefined	define projection	WGS84		
all FSM rivers points	fsm_rivers_usgs-srce pts	point	undefined	define projection	WGS84	not exaclt on river line	source
Bathymetry (world)	bath_polu	poly	undefined	define projection	WGS84		world_bathymetry
Ecoregions	ecoregons_bnds	poly	undefined	define projection	WGS84		fsm_ecoregions
EEZ	200_mile_buffer-of_land_area	poly	WGS84 UTM 56N	project	WGS84		fsm_land-area-200-mile-buffer
FSM States	fsm_states	poly	undefined	define projection	WGS84		
GNIS_All_Features	GNIS_All_Features	point	WGS84				fsm_gnis-all-feature
GNIS_Populated_Features	GNIS_Populated_Features	point	WGS84				fsm_gnis-populated-features
Island and atoll outlines	FSM_Final_Baselines	line	WGS84				fsm_baselines
Islands as blocks	fsm_blocks	poly	undefined	define projection	WGS84		
Millenium Reeds	Micronesia_v6	poly	WGS84				
MobileMapper landmarks	irei_pts	point	WGS84				
Name labes - islands	fsm_island labels	point	undefined	define projection	WGS84		
Name labels - passages	fsm_passage labels	point	undefined	define projection	WGS84		
Nature conservancy report occurances	s Occurances	poly	World_Cylindrical_E	c project	WGS84		fsm_occurances-tnc
Outer Islands banks	fsm - outer islands - banks	line	WGS84				fsm_outer-islands-banks.shp
Outer Islands islets	islets	poly	undefined	define projection	WGS84		fsm_outer-islands-islets.shp
Outer islands points	fsm - outer islands - locations	points	WGS84				fsm_outer-islands-points.shp
Outer Islands reefs	reefs	poly	undefined	define projection	WGS84		fsm_outer-islands-reefs.shp
Pacific coastlines	oastlines_pac	poly	World Mercator	project	WGS84		
ReefBase for FSM						better data available	
Simple base map	fsm_base	poly	undefined	define projection	WGS84		
	fsm_base-mask	poly	undefined	define projection	WGS84		
	fsm_base-simple	poly	undefined	define projection	WGS84		

FSM

Appendix F: Participant List



Kick-Off Meeting: Geospatial Information Development and Application in the Federated States of Micronesia

May 2, 2013 College of Micronesia – FSM, Pohnpei, FSM

Participant List									
Name	Affiliation	Contact e-mail	Signature						
Joyce Anson	FSM Telecom	janson@mail.fm							
Peterson Anson	Pohnpei Transportation & Infrastructure	ngoahi@hotmail.com							
Heather Bell	Pacific Disaster Center	<u>hbell@pdc.org</u>							
Snyther Biza	College of Micronesia - FSM	sbiza78@gmail.com							
Arnold Canete	Office of Statistics, Budget and Economic Management, Overseas Development Assistance, and Compact Management	acanete@sboc.fm							
Blair Charley	Kosrae Island Resource Management Authority	<u>charleyblair@gmail.com</u>							
Jennifer Hainrick	College of Micronesia - FSM								
Grilly Jack	DCTE	gjack@comfsm.fm							
Maria Kottermair	Island Research & Education Initiative	mariakottermair@gmail.com							

James Lukan	Office of Environment and Emergency Management	jameslukan@yahoo.com	
Nanita Miralles	Pohnpei Transportation & Infrastructure	nonette112546@yahoo.com	
Brad Mori	Chuuk Environmental Protection Agenccy	brad_mori@hotmail.com	
Tony Neth	Office of Environment and Emergency Management	aneth2008@gmail.com	
Lara Payne	Pacific Disaster Center	lpayne@pdc.org	
Oleen Peter	Office of Environment and Emergency Management	olnpp22@gmail.com	
Danko Taborosi	Island Research & Education Initiative	taborosi@gmail.com	
John Waayan	Yap Land Resource Management Office	landresources@mail.fm	
Andrew Yatilman	Office of Environment and Emergency Management	andrewy@mail.fm	
Regrets, but Ple	ease Keep Us Informed		
Fenno Brunken	Secretariat of the Pacific Community	<u>FennoB@spc.int</u>	
Pasha Carruthers	Global Climate Change Alliance: Pacific Small Island States	PashaC@spc.int	
Cindy Ehmes	Office of Environment and Emergency Management	<u>climate@mail.fm</u>	
Ismael Mikel	Chuuk Environmental Protection Agency	ismael.chuukepa@gmail.com	
Bill Raynor	The Nature Conservancy	braynor@tnc.org	
Mereseini Seniloli	Secretariat of the Pacific Community	mereseinis@spc.int	

Alissa Takesy	Department of Resources and Development	alissa.takesy@fsmrd.fm	
Suggested Cont	acts for Future Participat	ion and / or Information	
Alfred Lebehn	NORMA	<u>alfred.lebehnj@norma.fm</u>	
Eugene Joseph	Conservation Society of Pohnpei	cspdirector@serehd.org	
Henry Susaia	Pohnpei Environmental Protection Agency	hsusaia@yahoo.com	

Appendix G: Compiled Workshop Session Notes

Session 1, Question 1

Think of the decisions you make and the activities your organization is responsible for. How do you currently use geospatial information to execute your mission?

- 1. Guide during census/survey
- 2. Present Census statistics and analysis results in a more understandable format for decision makers
- 3. Enhance analysis
- 4. Planning for DM response and coordination
- 5. Telecom planning and maintenance (could apply to other infrastructure)
- 6. Public awareness
- 7. Marketing
- 8. Monitoring hazards and environmental conditions
- 9. Identify landslide risk (could apply to other hazards)
- 10. Damage assessment
- 11. Hazard zone identification and analysis of overlap
- 12. Exposure assessment (Could use for vulnerability and/or risk assessment)
- 13. Performing Environmental Impact Assessments
- 14. Education and geographic literacy
- 15. Conservation planning
- 16. Ocean and water management
- 17. Vegetation and resource mapping
 - a. Identify changes
 - b. Could use to identify exposure
- 18. Island profiles to support disaster response and mitigation
- 19. Link to/ leverage other efforts like those of IREI
- 20. Invasive species tracking
- 21. Community planning
 - a. Land use
 - b. Solid waste management
 - c. Infrastructure
- 22. Enables prioritization and designation of MPAs
- 23. Land surveys
- 24. Mapping roads
- 25. Tracking shoreline changes
- 26. Tracking climate change effects
- 27. Supporting public health activities

Session 1, Question 2

What geospatial information and/or resources would help your organization achieve your goals more effectively or more easily?

- 1. Hyperspectral and multispectral data, not just visible spectrum, especially for vegetation and marine environments. Might be more efficient to identify.
- 2. Topographic surveys in the field for data poor and flat environments/islands

- 3. Portable hardware and accompanying software for GIS to create GIS files in the field
- 4. Bathymetric data
- 5. Lidar
- 6. Expat info for DM coordination
- 7. Enhanced capacity greater tech knowledge and more people to use it/ apply it
- 8. Information sharing
 - a. Reduce cost
 - b. To support DM (especially response and coordination)
 - c. Enhance current datasets
- 9. Prediction data (weather and climate), long term and short term
- 10. 3D modeling for public awareness
- 11. GIS and geodata access and personnel who can explain and use (later in-house HW/SW)
- 12. Geospatial gov office
- 13. Updated HH way points as baseline for Census
- 14. High res maps temporal and spatial
- 15. Hardware servers, storage and access
- 16. Modeling climate change
- 17. Updated DEM, including outer islands
- 18. Place names
- 19. Baseline data
 - a. Historical maps
 - b. Historical aerial photos to identify change and provide evidence

Session 1, Question 3

What constraints do you have in accessing, managing, or using geospatial information?

- 1. Internet Connection
- 2. Hardware/ software
- 3. Human capacity (knowledge and numbers)

Session 2, Question 1

What geospatial resources can your organization make available to others in FSM and/or beyond? Please describe thematic content, coverage, and format, if possible. If you are primarily a data user, how and from what sources do you access information?

- 1. Vegetation 2006
- 2. ABS (including protected areas)
- 3. MPAs
- 4. Utilities waste water, power lines, etc. for Kosrae in mapinfo and .shp files
- 5. Kosrae historical aerial photos (Bishop Museum in Honolulu can provide others? Kosrae, with NZ NIWAR, tracked and compared 1944-2009 and compared with Qbird)
- 6. Hazard information
- 7. USGS contours

- 8. Soils for all main islands (every 10 years)
- 9. GPS controls
- 10. Lidar for Kosrae
- 11. IFRA maps
- 12. SWARS maps
- 13. Telecom for all islands with coverage
 - a. TV coverage
 - b. DSL coverage
 - c. Cell coverage
- 14. SBOC info for entire FSM in WGS 84 /.shp
 - a. HH locations
 - b. Housing characteristics
 - c. Number of people
 - d. Education
 - e. Health
 - f. Mortality
 - g. Fertility
 - h. Fishing
 - i. Agriculture
 - j. Durables (cars, boats, etc.)
- 15. SBOC for outer islands
 - a. Rain catchment (Y/N)
 - b. Gutters
 - c. Water spouts
 - d. Solar (check)
 - e. Water tanks
 - f. Wells
- 16. Sea level rise
- 17. Climate change modeling
- 18. NOAA
- 19. PDC
- 20. SOPAC
- 21. RSPC
- 22. NRCS
- 23. USFS
- 24. USDA
- 25. IMARS (marine and aquatic)
- 26. URS
- 27. NORMA
- 28. KIOST
- 29. USCG (main harbor on Yap)
- 30. HPO (archaeological and cultural resources?)
- 31. CISRO climate futures
- 32. URS all-hazards reports
- 33. IOM prepositioned supplies and warehouses (very important)
- 34. State EM offices
- 35. DECO annual reports
- 36. UNOCHA
- 37. The Nature Conservancy (TNC)
- 38. Pacific Disaster Net

Session 2, Question 2

What specific challenges do you see to sharing information?

- 1. FSM doesn't have copyright laws.
- 2. Before data, information or report is officially published, people ask for it; information has been misused in the past. *Don't release until formally published. Clearly cite sources and include use constraints. Formal agreements on how info is shared.*
- 3. Information can be power and ensures organizational or individual relevance. Sometimes a mentality of "That's MY information" and people don't want to share. *Ensure full credit and citing, though overcoming this obstacle will need to include incentivizing sharing over not sharing.*
- 4. Sensitivity of information or government/corporate property.
- 5. In some cases, such as with the raw census information, can't share by law, as it includes individual level data. *If removed or aggregated, can share. HH coordinates can probably share, and specific requests can likely be accommodated.*
- 6. Inadequate feedback loops, tracking and updates; enhancements may be made, but they are not noted or shared.
- 7. Technology can't open, incompatible software or file formats.
- 8. Accuracy and reliability of source. Does data reach standards needed? Metadata.
- 9. Not knowing the use or intended application; may go through multiple people and is passed along without tracking or info. *Metadata can help.*
- 10. Publicity and awareness of data and resources.

Appendix H: Data Inventory Questionnaire

			Yap Outer		Chuuk Outer		Pohnpei	Kosrae					
	Data	Yap Last	Isles last	Chuuk Last	Isles Last	Pohnpei Last	Outer Isles	Last		Source	File		
Layer Name	Owner	<u>Update</u>	<u>Update</u>	Update	Update	<u>Update</u>	Last Update	<u>Update</u>	Notes	Metadata	Format	Releasable?	Constraints
EXAMPLE-													
airport	PDC	2009		2009		2009		2009		у	shapefile	У	
	FSM								all islands with				
DSL Coverage	Telecom								coverage				
	FSM								all islands with				
TV Coverage	Telecom								coverage				
	FSM								all islands with				
Cell Coverage	Telecom								coverage				
									all of FSM, but				
									no individual				
									level can be				
									shared, must				
									be aggregated				
									/ made				
									anonymous for				
									any possibility				
									of sharing.		WGS 84 /		
									same for all		shp for all		
# of people	SBOC								SBOC data		SBOC		
											.shp for all		
Agriculture	SBOC								all of FSM		SBOC		
											.shp for all		
Durables	SBOC								all of FSM		SBOC		
						1					.shp for all	1	
Education	SBOC								all of FSM		SBOC		
						1					.shp for all	1	
Fertility	SBOC								all of FSM		SBOC		
,						1					.shp for all		
Fishing	SBOC								all of FSM		SBOC		
0						1			for outer		.shp for all	1	
gutters	SBOC								islands		SBOC		
0						1					.shp for all		
Health	SBOC								all of FSM		SBOC		

							.shp for all	
HH locations	SBOC					all of FSM	SBOC	
							WGS 84 /	
Housing							.shp for all	
characteristics	SBOC					all of FSM	SBOC	
							.shp for all	
Mortality	SBOC					all of FSM	SBOC	
Rain						for outer	.shp for all	
catchment	SBOC					islands	SBOC	
						for outer	.shp for all	
solar	SBOC					islands	SBOC	
						for outer	.shp for all	
water spouts	SBOC					islands	SBOC	
						for outer	.shp for all	
water tanks	SBOC					islands	SBOC	
						for outer	.shp for all	
wells	SBOC					islands	SBOC	
ABS								
change model								
outputs								
GPS controls								
Hazard zones								
						Kosrae has		
						1944-2009 for		
Historical						Q-bird		
aerial photos						comparison		
						need more		
						info on themes		
						/ data		
IFRA maps						available		
Lidar						For Kosrae		
MPAs								
						brought up in		
						context of		
other utilities						Kosrae		
						brought up in		
						context of		
power lines						Kosrae		
roads				ļ				
Sea level rise								

Soils					all main islands updated every 10 years		
SWARS maps					need more info on themes / data available		
USGS contours							
Vegetation					from 2006		
Wastewater					brought up in context of Kosrae		

Layer Name/Theme	Potential Data Owner	Suggested Contact	Contact Information	<u>Notes</u>
Historical aerial photos	Bishop Museum			Lara will follow up on this
Archaeological and				
cultural resources	НРО			
Prepositioned supplies				
and warehouses	IOM			
Main harbor on Yap	USCG			
Marine and aquatic	IMARS			
	SOPAC			
	RSPC			
	NRCS			
	USFS			
	USDA			
	URS			
	NORMA	Alfred Lebehn, Jr	alfred.lebehnj@norma.fm	
	KIOST			
	State EM offices			
	DECO			
	UNOCHA			
	The Nature Conservancy			
	CISRO			